		DEFINITION	BASELINE	TARGET	DATA SOURCE	FREQUENCY	RESPONSIBLE	REPORTING
	INDICATOR	How is it calculated?	What is the current value?	What is the target value?	How will it be measured?	How often will it be measured?	Who will measure it?	Where will it be reported?
Goal	Efficiency of waste disposal and compaction on Landfill that assist	Ratio of landfill compactor fuel use (litres / hour) per tonne of waste delivered combined with visual assessment of working face waste compaction (photo evidence)	TBD	TBD (reduction on baseline)	Vehicle fuel log, weighbridge data, photographic record of working face	Daily recording of working face, weekly analysis of fuel and weighbridge data.	Landfill Manager	Regulator
	of fire.	Number of days smoke is observed rising from landfill mass	Daily	Zero	Visual recordings and reporting in logbook at landfill	Daily	Landfill Manager	Regulator
		Number of fires occurring on active landfill site.	TBD	Zero	Visual recordings and reporting in logbook at landfill	Daily	Landfill Manager	Regulator
	Increase in Green Waste diversion from	Quantity (weight) of Green Waste loads recorded as entering Landfill	TBD – Estimated	Reduction on baseline	Cooks Landfill weigh bridge data	Weekly / Monthly reporting	Landfill Manager	Regulator
	landfill to environmentally sound management.	Number of separate occurrences of open dumping of green waste (and other wastes).	TBD	Reduction on baseline	Reports from Litter Wardens active in pilot green waste drop-off catchment area.	Monthly reporting	Litter Wardens	Regulator
	Effectiveness of communication	Quantity of green waste delivered to drop-off site	Zero	10% of total green waste disposed over first 4 months	Estimated volumes of loads dropped off (in absence of weigh bridge)	Daily record keeping reported monthly	Drop-off / composting facility supervisor	Regulator
	campaign and source segregated drop-off sites	Number of green waste producers in target area using drop-off facility	Zero	At least half of those who produce green waste in the catchment area having used a site	Gate records of number of distinct different users	Daily record keeping, monthly reporting	Drop-off / composting facility supervisor	Regulator
	Impact of green	Incidences (or number) of Giant African Snails in and around drop-off and composting facilities and in final product.	TBD	No increase on baseline	Site assessment and population count	Data collected weekly by composting team, reporting to MoA on Monthly basis	Ministry of Agriculture	Regulator
Outcomes	Waste management on the spread of invasive fauna.	Occurrence of pathogens in final product (pathogen destruction also indicates sufficient condition to kill any plant seeds)	N/A	Negligible	Compost testing and quality assurance	Monthly	Ministry of Agriculture Department of Analytical services	Regulator
	0it	Volume of processed and unprocessed green waste and compost at point of production, drop-off bay, windrow piles, product pile.	N/A	TBD in line with facility size. Fluid process flow (no excessive stockpiling) and increasing production.	Volume estimate of stockpiles along material flow chain	Monthly	Waste Management Operations Department	Regulator
	Capacity and appropriateness of operator models	Cost of (public) operations versus revenue	N/A	Sustainable revenue exceeds cost	Account records of sustainable income versus expenditure.	Each 6 months	Waste Management Authority	Regulator
		Marketability of compost produced (only relevant if	N/A	Product quality passes standard and	Compost testing and quality assurance	Monthly testing	Waste Management	Regulator
		compost to be sold and not used as landfill cover)		markets identified	Market assessment	Market survey	Authority	
	Establish / confirm compost quality standards	Body responsible for establishing Compost Quality Standards is actively working to define local standard	N/A	Compost Quality Standard drafted	Communications with Barbuda Bureau of Standards and CARICOM Regional Organisation for Standards and Quality	Quarterly	Ministry of Agriculture Department of Analytical services	Regulator

Fill in data in Beige cell	s for Baseline phase		Phase												Ba	asel	ine	Pha	ase												
Fill in data in Green cel	is for Implementation phase		Days	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 1	3 14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	Monthly
Indiantes	Colo Indianaa	Data ant	Months											-		1				5							-				Subtotal
Indicator	Sub-Indicator	Landfill compactor and	Name of																											-	
		bulldozer fuel use (litres) Total landfill	responsible person											_																	0
	Ratio of landfill compactor fuel use (litres / hour) per tonne of	compaction machine(s) operating hours (SWMA equipment)																													0
Fficiency of waste disposal and	waste delivered combined with visual assessment of working face waste compaction (photo evidence)	Total landfill compaction machine(s) operating hours																													0
1 compaction on Landfill that assist mitigate occurrence	,	(Private contractor cost) Tonnes of waste																													0
or me.		Ratio (fuel use/tonne				1					-				Month	ily sum	value or	nly				_				l					0
E	Number of days smoke is observed rising from landfill	or waste) Was smoke observed anywhere on landfill									T				1							T									0
	Number of fires occurring on active landfill site.	Were there any active fires observed on site (insert number of																													0
c	Quantity (weight) of Green Waste loads recorded as	separate fires if any). Tonnes of green waste recorded as being																													0
Increase in Green Waste diversion from 2 Iandfill to	entering Landfill	disposed into landfill Number of locations										_								_					_					_	-
environmentally sound management.	occurrences of open dumping of green waste (and other wastes).	green waste loads have been dumped in locations targeted for green waste location	h Monthly monitoring																	0											
Effectiveness of communication 3 campaign and source	Quantity of green waste delivered to drop-off site	Tonnes of green waste delivered to compost drop-off point.																													0
segregated drop-off sites	Number of green waste producers in target area using drop-off facility	Number of unique users dropping of waste at location																													0
Impact of green waste management	Incidences (or number) of Giant African Snails in and around drop-off and composting facilities and in final product.	Number of Giant African Snails counted during monthly inspection																													0
on the spread of invasive fauna.	Occurrence of pathogens in final product (pathogen destruction also indicates sufficient condition to kill any plant seeds)	Salmonella and Faecal coliform test result.													Not App	olicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
L		Estimated volume (m3) of green waste delivered but not processed													Not App	olicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
	Volume of processed and unprocessed green waste and compost at point of production, drop-off bay, windrow piles, product pile.	Estimated volume (m3) of green waste / compost in windrow piles													Not App	blicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
Capacity and 5 appropriateness of operator models		Estimated volume (m3) of compost finished and ready for use													Not App	olicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
N	Cost of (public) operations	Total expenditures (\$) (staff, fuel, maintenance, equipment purchase, etc)													Not App	olicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
	versus revenue	Total revenues (\$) (sales or savings from not purchasing 3rd party compost)													Not App	blicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
	Marketability of compost produced (relevant if compost to be sold and not used as landfill cover)	Compost meets PAS 100 or similar standard													Not App	plicable	at Base	line													N/A for Baseline
Establish / confirm 6 compost quality C standards	Body responsible for establishing Compost Quality Standards is actively working to define local standard	Standards drafted or not? (Y?N)		Not Applicable at Baseline N/A											N/A for Baseline																

1 2 3 4 5 6	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 1	4 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Monthly										
Ţ	2	1	4	Subtotal										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
				0										
	Monthly te	st of compost												
				0										
				0										
				0										
	Monthly total of	costs for the month												
	Monthly total of re	venues for the month												
				0										
				0										

										1																		Pilot
29	30	31	32 5	33	34	35	36	37	38	39 6	40	41	42	43	44	45	46 7	47	48	49	50	51	52	53 8	54	55	5 56	Monthly
														2														Subtotal
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
	-																				-							0
																0												
																0												
																	0											
																0												
	Monthly test of compost																											
																												0
																												0
																												0
											Mon	thly to	tal of c	osts fo	r the m	onth												
											Month	ly total	l of rev	enues	or the	month												
																												0
																												0

Pha	se																											
57	58	59	60 9	61	62	63	64	65	66	67 10	68	69	70	71	72	73	74 11	75	76	77	78	79	80	81 12	82	8	84	Monthly Subtotal
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
																												0
	-				-			-					-		-		-		-			-						0
																	0											
																												0
																			0									
																												0
	Monthly test of compost																											
																												0
																												0
																												0
											Mont	hly to	tal of c	osts fo	r the m	onth												
										1	Monthl	y total	of rev	enues	or the	month												
																												0
																												0

1 1					100	100	107	100	405		400	400		100					0.5										0.0
	Monthly Subtotal	112	111	110	16	108	107	100	105	104	105	102	101	100	4	98	97	90	14	94	95	92	91	90	69	13	0 0/	00	0.
	0																												
I I	0																												
I I	0																												
	0															-													
	0																												
Image: Control of the model	0																												
Image: Control of the model Image: Control of the model	0																												
Image: Solution of the state of th	0																												
Image: Control of the month	0																												
	0																												
Image: Construction Image	0																												
Monthly test of compost 0 Image: Composit 0	0																												
Image: Constraint of the month 0			Monthly test of compost																										
Image: second	0																												
Image: Constraint of the month Monthly total of costs for the month Monthly total of revenues for the month Image: Constraint of the month Image: C																													
Image: Monthly total of costs for the month 0 Monthly total of revenues for the month 0 Image: Monthly total of revenues for the month 0 Image: Image	0																												
Monthly total of costs for the month 0 Monthly total of revenues for the month 0	0																												
Monthly total of revenues for the month O Image:													onth	r the m	osts fo	tal of c	thly to	Mor											
0 0													month	for the	enues	l of rev	ily tota	Month											
0	0																												
	0																												

R	Responsible	Tİ	he people (or person) who are exp	pected to actively					r			Stakeho	Iders RASCI						
Δ	Accountable	pi Ti	articipate in collecting the data he person who is ultimately account	intable for the results									ng / Boles	10A,		a li	ept.	*	
	Accountable	Pr	eonle to support the responsible r	person (bein with		# J			3er	j.	pue	ti.	e spi	Arica N	vtica	andf	inan ts De	rvice	ards
5	Support	CC Pe	ollecting and compiling the data) eople who have a particular expen	- not used all the time tise that they can	perviso	ui pmer	anager	anager	s mana,	ionDe	ention	ion De	ative fro e for as: in vasiv	ative fro nt anal	nt anal	t from I	hority F Accoun	antal Se ication	Stand
Ľ	Consult	cc	ontribute to specific decisions		III Su	enar Cenar	N I	e e	tion	ificar	prev ol	ificar 1 Insi	sent nsibi	sent nme es.	es nme	osti eren ger)	e Aut ger -	-Edi	o ne
ı.	Inform	Pe	eople who are affected by the act perefore need to be kent informer	ivity/decision and	andf	andf	andf	ener	ollec	eaut	itter on tr	enio	ep re espo	epre iover ervic	enic	if d iff	V aste Aana	nvird Hept.	urea
	Indicator	1	Sub-indicator	Data set	_	26		0	0	8	L L	a v	82 C C	20 s	0 8	032	26	80	в
				Landfill compactor and															
				bulldozer fuel use (litres)		R	Α												
				Total landfill															
				compaction machine		D	•												
			Ratio of landfill compactor fuel	(Government		n	~												
			use (litres / hour) per tonne of waste delivered combined with	equipment)															
		A	visual assessment of working	Total landfill															
	disposal and		face waste compaction (photo	compaction machine			D												
	compaction on		evidence)	(Private contractor			, N												
1	Landfill that assist mitigate occurrence			cost) Tonnes of waste	в		•												
	of fire.			delivered on site Ratio (fuel use/tonne	R		A												
				of waste)			ĸ												
		в	Number of days smoke is observed rising from landfill mass	Was smoke observed anywhere on landfill site? (Y/N)	R		Α												
		F		Were there any active															
		c	Number of fires occurring on active landfill site.	fires observed on site (insert number of separate fires if any)	R		Α												
		t	Quantity (weight) of Green	Tonnes of green waste															
	Increase in Green	D	Waste loads recorded as entering Landfill	recorded as being disposed into landfill	R		Α												
	Waste diversion from			Number of locations															
2	environmentally		Number of separate occurrences of open dumning of	observed where tresh green waste loads							-								
	sound management.	Е	green waste (and other	have been dumped in						Α	R	S							
			wastes).	locations targeted for															
_				green waste location															
3	Effectiveness of communication	F	Quantity of green waste delivered to drop-off site	delivered to compost drop-off point.	R		Α											S	
2	segregated drop-off sites	G	Number of green waste producers in target area using drop-off facility	Number of unique users dropping of waste at location	R		Α											S	
		t	lanip of fucinty	Number of Clean															
			African Snails in and around	African Snails counted									c						
		н	drop-off and composting	during monthly	к		A						5						
	Impact of green waste management		facilities and in final product.	inspection															
4	on the spread of		Occurrence of pathogens in																
	invasive fauna.		final product (pathogen	Salmonella and Faecal											•				
		ľ	destruction also indicates sufficient condition to kill any	coliform test result.										ĸ	A				
			plant seeds)																
		T		Estimated volume															
		ı		(m3) of green waste	R		Δ									S			
		-		delivered but not processed	N		~									3			
		F	Volume of processed and	Estimated volume															
		к	compost at point of production,	(m3) of green waste /	R		Δ												
			drop-off bay, windrow piles,	piles															
			product pile.	Estimated volume															
		L		(m3) of compost	R		Α												
	Capacity and			use															
5	operator models			Total expenditures (\$)															
				(staff, fuel,	D	c	•			c									
			Cost of (public) operations	equipment purchase,	n	3	~			3									
		м	versus revenue	etc)															
				I otal revenues (\$) (sales or savings from													-		
				not purchasing 3rd				A									R		
		┝		party compost)															
			Marketability of compost produced (relevant if compost	Compost meets PAS										_	-				
		Ν	to be sold and not used as	100 or similar standard							[ĸ	Α				
			landfill cover)																
	Establish / confirm		Body responsible for	Standards drafted =-															_
6	compost quality	0	Standards is actively working to	not? (Y?N)							[R
	standdfus		define local standard																